

### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Excellent	N/A
2002	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003	Unsatisfactory	Excellent	No
2004	Below Average	Excellent	No

### DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

# HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	I	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	50.0	N/A	N/A	64.3	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	32.1	N/A	N/A	17.8	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	17.9	N/A	N/A	21.2	N/A	N/A	

#### EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	90.2%	92.3%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP								
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours						
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	1.4	4.0						
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	1.4	4.0						
Seniors who met the grade point average	21.1	33.2						

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

### GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	97	142		
Number of Diplomas	62	102		
Rate	63.9%	71.5%		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS										
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate					
	n %		n	%	n	%	Met State Objective			
All Students	61	90.2	71	1.4	97	63.9	YES			
Gender										
Male	28	85.7	32	0.0	47	57.4	N/A			
Female	33	93.9	39	2.6	50	70.0	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	3	I/S	5	0.0	5	40.0	N/A			
African-American	56	91.1	64	1.6	89	65.2				
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
Hispanic	2	I/S	2	I/S	3	I/S	N/A			
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
Non disabled	54	92.6	63	1.6	83	72.3	N/A			
Disabilities other than speech	7	71.4	8	0.0	14	14.3	N/A			
Migrant Status										
Migrant	2	I/S	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
Non-migrant	59	91.5	71	1.4	N/A	N/A	N/A			
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	1	I/S	0	N/A	1	I/S	N/A			
Non-Limited English Proficient	57	89.5	71	1.4	95	64.2	N/A			
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	51	88.2	42	0.0	60	63.3	N/A			
Full-pay meals	10	100.0	29	3.4	37	64.9	N/A			

HSAP PERFORMANCE	BY LERI								-
	Enrollment 1st	ē/ ,	% Below Basis	<b>]</b> / .	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation
	] Jeji	% Tested	/ A	% Basic	່ / ຜູ້ຊື່	,   🖺	g Gel		i jeg
	<u> </u>  0  5	/ %	ge/	/ %	1 %	1 8	100		artic
	Day Et	1	/ %	/	/ *	/ %	× \$	<i>\</i>	/" č
Engl	/ ish/Langua	ge Arts - S			/ Objective	/			
All Students	123	92.7	20.9	46.4	20.9	11.8	46.4	YES	NC
Gender									
Male	73	91.8	24.2	50.0	16.7	9.1	39.4	N/A	N/A
Female	50	94.0	15.9	40.9	27.3	15.9	56.8	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
African-American	104	94.2	22.7	43.3	21.6	12.4	45.4	YES	NO
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/8
Hispanic	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/5
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	103	96.1	14.7	48.4	23.2	13.7	50.5	N/A	N/
Disabled	20	75.0	60.0	33.3	6.7	N/A	20.0	I/S	1/3
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	123	92.7	20.9	46.4	20.9	11.8	46.4	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
Non-Limited English Proficient	121	92.6	21.3	46.3	20.4	12.0	46.3	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	90	93.3	22.0	46.3	23.2	8.5	46.3	YES	N
Full-pay meals	33	90.9	17.9	46.4	14.3	21.4	46.4	N/A	N/A
	Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	nce Obje	ctive = 30	.0%			
All Students	123	91.9	43.6	30.0	17.3	9.1	36.4	YES	N(
Gender									
Male	73	90.4	45.5	33.3	12.1	9.1	33.3	N/A	N/A
Female	50	94.0	40.9	25.0	25.0	9.1	40.9	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
African-American	104	93.3	42.3	32.0	17.5	8.2	36.1	YES	N
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Hispanic	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	103	95.1	37.9	31.6	20.0	10.5	41.1	N/A	N/
Disabled	20	75.0	80.0	20.0	N/A	N/A	6.7	I/S	1/:
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	123	91.9	43.6	30.0	17.3	9.1	36.4	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
Non-Limited English Proficient	121	91.7	42.6	30.6	17.6	9.3	37.0	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	90	92.2	43.9	29.3	17.1	9.8	32.9	YES	NO
Full-pay meals	33	90.9	42.9	32.1	17.9	7.1	46.4	N/A	N/A

## **Abbreviations for Missing Data**

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

St John's High 1001020 SCHOOL PROFILE **High Schools** Median Our Change from with Students Hiah School Last Year Like Ours School Students (n= 430) Retention rate 10.6% N/A 10.4% 9.1% Attendance rate 96.3% Up from 96.2% 95.9% 96.0% Eligible for gifted and talented 4.0% Up from 3.0% 3.4% 5.8% With disabilities other than speech 17.1% 14.5% Up from 16.2% 12.7% 16.7% Down from 42.5% 9.8% Older than usual for grade 13.0% Out-of-school suspensions or 4.0% Up from 1.0% 3.2% 1.6% expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses 10.2% Enrolled in AP/IB programs 12.9% Down from 26.2% 6.4% Successful on AP/IB exams N/AV 34.5% 53.8% 4.7% 2.9% Annual dropout rate Up from 3.7% 2.7% Career/technology students in 4.0% Down from 6.2% 3.3% 3.6% co-curricular organizations Enrollment in career/technology center 357 Up from 249 348 466 courses Students participating in 20.0% Down from 33.7% 27.4% 25.7% worked-based experiences Career/technology students mastering 70.4% Up from 67.8% 75.7% 77.7% core competencies 100.0% 99.5% 99.3% Career/technology completers placed No change Teachers (n= 37) 46.1% 52.0% Teachers with advanced degrees 56.8% Up from 45.9% Continuing contract teachers 67.6% Down from 70.3% 77.0% 82.1% Highly qualified teachers\*\* 73.7% N/A 87.4% 89.5% Teachers with emergency or 15.2% 13.9% 8.6% provisional certificates Teachers returning from previous year 80.6% Down from 85.0% 82.2% 86.2% 94.4% Down from 96.2% Teacher attendance rate 94.5% 95.3% \$41.415 Up 8.4% \$41.060 Average teacher salary \$40.054 Prof. development days/teacher 16.0 days Up from 14.4 days 11.4 days 10.6 days Principal's years at school 0.5 Down from 2.0 2.0 3.0 Up from 19.1 to 1 26.4 to 1 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 21.4 to 1 23.7 to 1 Prime instructional time 89.0% Down from 90.3% 89.0% 90.0% Dollars spent per pupil\* \$10.103 Up 4.7% \$7.038 \$6.310 Down from 58.7% Percent of expenditures for teacher 55.7% 55.7% 57.9% salaries\* Opportunities in the arts Excellent No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 90.2% Up from 84.1% 88.0% 89.3% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes

**Our District** State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools\*\* 88.1% 92.0% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools\*\* 87.8% 91.1% State Objective Met State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school\*\* 65.0% Yes Student attendance in this school 95.3% Yes

N/A

Average

Character development program

\* Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Yes

Good

Average

<sup>\*\*</sup>NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The mission of St. John's High is to create a school environment where all students are recognized and nurtured as unique individuals, and where they are adequately prepared to successfully achieve their academic and lifelong goals. We believe that all students can learn, should be treated with respect and dignity, should have the right to fair and equal educational opportunities, and should understand the cultural diversity that exists in the world around them.

The St. John's family is proud of our new state of the art facility, which enables both the school and the community to benefit from additional academic, vocational, and fine arts opportunities.

While this has been a year of change, the positives that have emerged include: improvement in SAT scores by a 66-point gain from the previous year; sponsorship by the Foundation for Excellent Schools for students to participate in the Century Scholars Program to pursue higher education goals; and, the staff's successful commitment to collegial professional development with Standards in Practice (SIP).

Our expectation is that we will continue to increase student achievement so that we will no longer receive an "unsatisfactory" rating on the report card. As this was the year for the new state High School Assessment Program (HSAP) to replace the BSAP as the exit exam, we continue to focus on improving student learning in the English language arts and mathematics. The staff is dedicated to the process of aligning instruction and assessments with the South Carolina curriculum standards in order to impact successful student performance. In addition, we are committed to offering a rigorous and relevant curriculum to all of our students.

Sincerely,

James E. Wright,

James Gansrow,

Acting Principal School Improvement Council Chair

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS								
Teachers Students* Parents								
Number of surveys returned	27	46	17					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	55.6%	54.3%	100.0%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment 59.3% 67.4% 76.5								
Percent satisfied with home-school relations 22.2% 73.3% 70.6%								
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.								